

**WILTSHIRE COUNCIL**

**CORPORATE POLICY AND PROCEDURES DOCUMENT**

**ON**

**COVERT HUMAN INTELLIGENCE SOURCES**

**(THE REGULATION OF INVESTIGATORY POWERS ACT 2000 (RIPA))**

**DRAFT**

## INDEX

## PAGE NO.

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| 1.  | Background  | 3  |
| 2.  | Overview  | 3  |
| 3.  | Oversight of the Policy                               | 4  |
| 4.  | Definitions   | 5  |
| 5.  | Authorisation and Approval Procedure                  | 7  |
| 6.  | Role of the Authorising Officer                       | 7  |
| 7.  | Applications for Authorisations                       | 9  |
| 8.  | Considering Applications for the use of a CHIS        | 10 |
| 9.  | The Role of the Justice of the Peace                  | 13 |
| 10. | Applications for Approval by the Justice of the Peace | 13 |
| 11. | Working with/through Other Agencies                   | 14 |
| 12. | Records Management                                    | 15 |

## APPENDICES

|            |   |    |
|------------|---|----|
| Appendix 1 | Authorisation and Approval Process Charts | 17 |
| Appendix 2 | List of Authorising Officers              | 20 |
| Appendix 3 | List of Designated Persons and SPOCs      | 21 |

## **1. BACKGROUND**

The Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA), which came into force on 25 September 2000, was enacted in order to regulate the use of a range of investigative powers by a variety of public authorities. It gives a statutory framework for the authorisation and conduct of certain types of covert surveillance operation. Its aim is to provide a balance between preserving people's right to privacy and enabling enforcement agencies to gather evidence for effective enforcement action.

It is consistent with the Human Rights Act 1998 and creates a system of safeguards, reflecting the requirements of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights (right to respect for a person's private and family life, home and correspondence). Compliance with RIPA means that any conduct authorised under it is "lawful for all purposes". This important protection derives from section 27(1) of RIPA, which gives the authorised person an entitlement to engage in the conduct which has been authorised. Compliance with RIPA will assist the Council in any challenges to the way in which evidence has been gathered and will enable the Council to demonstrate that it has acted lawfully.

Compliance with RIPA makes authorised surveillance "lawful for all purposes" pursuant to section 27(1) of the Act. Compliance with RIPA will protect the Council from challenges to both the gathering of, and the subsequent use of, covertly obtained information. Non-compliance may result in:

- (a) evidence being disallowed by the courts;
- (b) a complaint of maladministration to the Ombudsman; or
- (c) the Council being ordered to pay compensation.

It is essential therefore that the Council's policies and procedures, as set out in this document, are followed. A flowchart of the procedures to be followed appears at Appendix 1.

## **2. OVERVIEW OF POLICY**

Authorisation must be applied for in the manner provided in section 7 of this policy. Applications are made to Authorising Officers.

All Officers making applications and Authorising Officers should be aware of and familiar with the Home Office Covert Human Intelligence Sources Code of Practice (2010) or any code of practice issued in replacement of this code of practice.

Authorising Officers are obliged to consider all applications they receive in accordance with sections 6 and 8 of this policy. An authorisation can only be granted where the surveillance activity is necessary for the detection or prevention of crime or for preventing disorder and

the Authorising Officer considers that covert surveillance is a proportionate way for the Council to obtain the desired information.

Any authorisation granted by the Authorising Officer must then be approved by a Justice of the Peace before it can be implemented.

Section 11 of this policy covers the arrangements for working with or through other agencies for surveillance purposes.

Section 12 of this policy sets out the requirements for records management. This includes both departmental records and the central record which is maintained by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer.

### **3. OVERSIGHT OF THE POLICY**

The Senior Responsible Officer is responsible for the integrity of the process within Wiltshire Council to authorise use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources (CHIS), compliance with Part II of the 2000 Act, Part III of the 1997 Act and with the Code of Practice, engagement with the Commissioners and Inspectors when they conduct their inspections and where necessary, overseeing the implementation of any post inspection action plans recommended or approved by a Commissioner.

The Senior Responsible Officer shall also be responsible for ensuring that all Authorising Officers are of an appropriate standard in light of any recommendations in the inspection reports prepared by the Office of Surveillance Commissioners. Where an inspection report highlights concerns about the standard of authorising officers, the Senior Responsible Officer will be responsible for ensuring the concerns are addressed.

The RIPA Co-ordinating Officer is responsible for the day to day oversight of applications and for the maintenance of the central record. The RIPA Co-ordinating Officer shall report to the Senior Responsible Officer any failings, training needs or improvements to the system.

The Cabinet Member for Resources shall be responsible for ensuring that RIPA is being used consistently with this policy and that the policy remains fit for purpose. The Senior Responsible Officer shall provide a report on Wiltshire Council's use of RIPA to the Cabinet Member for Resources on a quarterly basis. A summary of this report shall be made available to all members of the Council. Annually, the report shall include a review of the effectiveness of this policy and any recommendation for changes to be made. Any significant amendments to the policy shall be referred to the Cabinet for approval.

For the avoidance of doubt the Cabinet and the Cabinet Member for Resources are not to be involved in making decisions on specific authorisations.

#### 4. **DEFINITIONS**

##### **Authorising Officers**

Authorising Officers are senior officers of the Council who have received training in the application of RIPA. Only Authorising Officers have power to authorise directed surveillance and/or the use of a covert human intelligence source. Authorising Officers are listed at Appendix 2.

##### **Cabinet**

This is the body defined in Article 7 of the Wiltshire Council Constitution.

##### **Covert Human Intelligence Sources (CHIS)**

The conduct and use of a covert human intelligence source means in effect the use of an informant. In some cases this could include a test purchase or undercover Officer.

The conduct and use of a covert human intelligence source occurs when a person establishes or maintains a personal or other relationship with a person:

- for the covert purpose of using the relationship to obtain information or to provide access to any information to another person; or
- in order to disclose information covertly obtained by the use of such a relationship, or as a consequence of the existence of such a relationship.

A person may be a CHIS if they induce, ask or assist another person to engage in the conduct described above.

RIPA does not apply in circumstances where members of the public volunteer information to the Council or to contact numbers set up to receive information.

Carrying out test purchases will not require the purchaser to establish a relationship with the supplier for the purpose of obtaining information and, therefore, the purchaser will not normally be a CHIS. For example, authorisation would not normally be required for test purchases carried out in the ordinary course of business (e.g. walking into a shop and purchasing a product over the counter) although an Officer covertly watching a particular transaction may require an authorisation for directed surveillance.

By contrast, developing a relationship with a person in the shop, for example to obtain information about the seller's supplier of an illegal or unsafe product, will require authorisation as a CHIS. Similarly, using mobile hidden recording devices or CCTV cameras to record what is happening in the shop will require authorisation as directed surveillance (see separate Directed Surveillance policy). A combined authorisation can be given for a CHIS and also directed surveillance.

**NB** Special safeguards apply to the use or conduct of vulnerable individuals or juveniles. A vulnerable individual is a person who is or may be in need of community care services by

reason of mental or other disability, age or illness and who may need protecting from exploitation. A vulnerable individual will only be authorised to act as a source in the most exceptional circumstances.

A juvenile is a young person under 18. Juveniles can only be authorised as sources for one month. On no occasion can a child under 16 years of age be authorised to give information against his or her parents or anyone with parental responsibility for that child.

### **Collateral Intrusion**

Collateral intrusion is intrusion into the privacy of persons other than those who are directly the intended subjects of the investigation or operation.

### **Confidential Information**

Confidential information consists of matters subject to legal privilege, confidential personal information or confidential journalistic material.

Confidential personal information is information held in confidence relating to the physical or mental health or spiritual counselling concerning an individual (whether living or dead) who can be identified from it. Such information, which can include both oral and written communications, is held in confidence if it is held subject to an express or implied undertaking to hold it in confidence or it is subject to a restriction on disclosure or an obligation of confidentiality contained in existing legislation. Examples might include consultations between a health professional and a patient, or information from a patient's medical records.

### **Judicial Approval**

Local authority authorisations and notices under RIPA can only be given effect once an order approving the authorisation or notice has been granted by a Justice of the Peace.

### **Private Information**

Private information in relation to a person includes any information relating to his/her private and family life, home and correspondence. Prolonged surveillance targeted on a single person will undoubtedly result in the obtaining of private information about that person and possibly others with whom he/she associates.

It is also likely that surveillance of a person's commercial or business activities will reveal information about his or her private life and the private lives of others. Authorisation may, therefore, be required where surveillance is focusing on business or commercial activities.

### **RIPA Co-ordinating Officer (RCO)**

A member of Legal Services' Dispute Resolution and Corporate Governance team, the RCO is responsible for the day to day oversight of applications, the maintenance of the Register and the reporting to the Senior Responsible Officer of any failings, training needs or improvements to the system.

## **Senior Responsible Officer**

The Head of Legal Services, Wiltshire Council.

## **5. THE AUTHORISATION AND APPROVAL PROCEDURE**

Before undertaking use of a CHIS, written authorisation from the appropriate Authorising Officer must be obtained along with Judicial approval of the authorisation.

Exceptionally out of hours Judicial approval may be necessary.

### **Approval in urgent cases**

In most emergency situations where the police have power to act, then they are able to authorise activity under RIPA without prior JP approval. No RIPA authority is required in immediate response to events or situations where it is not reasonably practicable to obtain it e.g. when criminal activity is observed during routine duties and officers conceal themselves to observe what is happening.

Her Majesty's Courts and Tribunals Service administration must be contacted at the magistrates' court to request a hearing. Where out of hours access to a JP is required it will be for the Investigating Officer to make arrangements with the relevant HMCTS legal staff. The Officer will need to provide two partially completed judicial application/order forms so that one can be retained by the JP. The Officer should provide the court with a copy of the signed judicial application/order form the next working day.

An authorisation will not be urgent where the need for authorisation has been neglected or is of the officer's own making.

### **Applying for renewal**

An officer who has received an authorisation is responsible for renewing that authorisation if the activity for which authorisation was given is expected to continue beyond the duration of the authorisation. Renewal applications should be made and judicial approval of the renewal should be sought before the initial authorisation expires. If necessary a renewal can be granted more than once.

### **Cancelling an authorisation**

The officer responsible for undertaking the authorised surveillance must apply to have that authorisation cancelled when the investigation or operation for which authorisation was given has ended, the authorised surveillance activity has been completed, or the information sought is no longer necessary.

**No authorisation can be left to expire.** All authorisations must either be renewed, if the surveillance is expected to continue beyond the duration of the authorisation, or cancelled, if the surveillance ends before the expiry date. Authorising Officers must ensure compliance

with the appropriate data protection requirements and any relevant codes of practice produced by Wiltshire Council relating to the handling, storage and destruction of material obtained.

## **6. THE ROLE OF THE AUTHORISING OFFICER**

### **Considering and granting authorisations**

Authorising Officers are responsible for receiving, considering and, where appropriate, granting applications for authorisation. Authorising Officers should follow the steps set out in section 8 below when considering applications for authorisation.

An Authorising Officer is not empowered to consider an application for access to communications data. Where such an application is received by an Authorising Officer, it must be referred to one of the SPOCs listed in Appendix 3 and the applicant must be informed.

An Authorising Officer is empowered to grant urgent authorisations where appropriate, to renew authorisations and to cancel authorisations. Authorising Officers should also review all authorisations he or she has granted from time to time.

An Authorising Officer cannot delegate their power to authorise surveillance under RIPA to anyone else.

### **Duration**

Written authorisation for a CHIS will cease to have effect at the end of a period of twelve months beginning with the day on which it took effect (which is the date of approval by a Justice of the Peace), unless it is renewed.

### **Periodic review**

An Authorising Officer should conduct regular reviews of authorisations granted in order to assess the need for the authorised activity to continue. The Authorising Officer shall determine how often a review should take place with a minimum requirement that such reviews take place on a monthly basis. Authorisations should be reviewed frequently where a high level of collateral intrusion is likely (i.e. relating to other people who are not targets but who may be affected by the operation) or provides access to confidential information.

A review necessarily involves consultation with the persons involved in the surveillance activity. The Applicant must give sufficient information about the product of the surveillance for the Authorising Officer to be satisfied that the authorised activity should continue.

An Authorising Officer must cancel the authorisation if, as the result of a review, he or she is of the opinion that the grounds for granting the authorisation no longer apply and must comply with data protection requirements and Wiltshire Council's codes of practice.

The results of all reviews must be recorded in the central record of authorisation.

### **Granting a renewal**



Renewal applications should be made by the Officer who applied for the initial authorisation.

When receiving a renewal application, the Authorising Officer must consider the matter afresh, including taking into account the benefits of the surveillance to date and any collateral intrusion that has occurred. The Authorising Officer must be satisfied that it is necessary and proportionate for the authorisation to continue. The authorisation for renewal must then be approved by a Justice of the Peace for it to take effect.

An authorisation may be renewed and approved before the initial authorisation ceases to have effect but the renewal takes effect from the time at which the authorisation would have expired. If necessary a renewal can be granted more than once.

### **Cancelling an authorisation**

The Authorising Officer who granted or last renewed the authorisation must cancel the authorisation if the grounds for granting the authorisation no longer apply or if the authorisation is no longer necessary or proportionate. For instance, the authorisation should be cancelled if the aims have been met or if the risks have changed.

An authorisation can be cancelled on the initiative of the Authorising Officer following a periodic review, or after receiving an application for cancellation from the Officer responsible for the surveillance activity.

## **7. APPLICATIONS FOR AUTHORISATIONS**

Before deciding on this course of action, legal advice must be sought from the Senior Responsible Officer.

All council Officers must receive authorisation in writing before undertaking the conduct and use of a CHIS.

Applications for authorisation to use a CHIS must be made on form 2A and sent to the relevant departmental Authorising Officer listed in Appendix 2.

For both vulnerable individuals and juveniles, only the Corporate Director that is occupying the role of Head of Paid Service at the time or his deputy can give authorisation (see Appendix 2).

Standard application forms are held by the Legal Unit and can be obtained from the Intranet

### **Duration**

Written authorisation for a CHIS will cease to have effect at the end of a period of twelve months beginning with the day on which it took effect (the date of approval by a Justice of the Peace), unless it is renewed.

### **Review**

Reviews of authorisations for the conduct and use of a CHIS must be completed on form 2B.

### **Renewal**

An Officer who has received an authorisation is responsible for renewing that authorisation if the activity for which authorisation was given is expected to continue beyond the duration of the authorisation. Renewal applications should be made before the initial authorisation expires, leaving sufficient time for the authorisation for renewal to be approved by a Justice of the Peace (see section 9 of this policy).

Applications for renewal of an authorisation for the conduct and use of a CHIS must be completed on form 2C.

The renewal application must be made to the Authorising Officer who granted the initial authorisation.

### **Cancellation**

The Officer responsible for undertaking the authorised surveillance must apply to have that authorisation cancelled when the investigation or operation for which authorisation was given has ended, the authorised surveillance activity has been completed, or the information sought is no longer necessary.

An application for cancellation of an authorisation for the conduct and use of a CHIS must be made on form 2D.

Cancellation decisions must be recorded on the same form by the Authorising Officer making the decision.

## **8. CONSIDERING APPLICATIONS FOR THE USE OF A CHIS**

This part of the policy lists the factors which Authorising Officers should consider upon receiving an application for an authorisation for the use of a CHIS.

### **Step 1: Is authorisation needed for this activity?**

An Authorising Officer must first consider whether an authorisation is actually required. To require authorisation, the activity to which the application relates must be covert and must involve the obtaining of private information on an individual through the use of a CHIS.

An Authorising Officer should interpret the definitions broadly when determining whether an activity is covert or if private information will be obtained. When in doubt, the authorisation procedure must always be followed.

### **Step 2: Is the activity necessary and if so, why?**

An Authorising Officer can only authorise an activity where s/he believes that the authorisation is necessary in the circumstances of the particular case for the purpose of preventing or detecting crime or of preventing disorder.

The Authorising Officer must be satisfied that there are no other reasonable means of carrying out the investigation, or obtaining the desired information, without undertaking the activity for which authorisation is sought, other overt means having been considered and discounted.

Authorisation should not be granted if the information sought can be obtained by other means without undertaking an activity which falls under the requirements of RIPA. Authorisation cannot be granted if it is for any purpose other than the prevention or detection of crime or for the prevention of disorder.

### **Step 3: Is it proportionate?**

If the activity is necessary, the Authorising Officer must also believe that the activity is proportionate. In deciding whether the proposed surveillance is proportionate they should consider:

- (i) Is the proposed covert surveillance proportional to the mischief under investigation?
- (ii) Is it proportionate to the degree of anticipated intrusion on the target and others?
- (iii) Is it the only option, other overt means having been considered and discounted.

Such considerations involve balancing the intrusiveness of the activity on the target and others, against the need for the activity in operational terms. The activity will not be proportionate if it is excessive in the particular circumstances or if the information sought could reasonably be obtained by less intrusive means. Any activity must be carefully managed to meet the objective in question and must not be arbitrary or unfair.

The following should therefore be considered in determining whether the activity for which authorisation is sought is proportionate:

- The reasons given by the applicant as to why that activity is sufficient and adequate for obtaining the information sought;
- Whether there are any other reasonable means of obtaining the information sought;
- Whether the surveillance is an essential part of the investigation;
- The type and quality of the information the activity will produce and its likely value to the investigation;
- The amount of intrusion, other than collateral intrusion, the activity will cause and whether there are ways to minimise that intrusion; and
- The length of time for which the authorisation is sought and whether the activity can be undertaken within a shorter time frame.

The Authorising Officer should only authorise the activity that is the least intrusive in the circumstances. Any unnecessary intrusion, including collateral intrusion, must be minimised as much as practically possible. **The least intrusive method will be considered proportionate by the courts.**

### **Confidential Information**

The Authorising Officer must take into account the likelihood of confidential information being acquired. Confidential information consists of matters subject to legal privilege, confidential personal information or confidential journalistic material.

Where confidential information is likely to be acquired, authorisation should only be given in exceptional and compelling circumstances with full regard to the proportionality issues this raises.

In these circumstances, the Authorising Officer must be the Corporate Director that is occupying the role of Head of Paid Service at the time or his deputy, as listed in Appendix 2.

### **Provisions for the management of the source and records**

When considering applications for the use of a CHIS, an Authorising Officer must take into account the provisions of section 29 of RIPA and the Source Records Regulations (2000 SI No. 2725) made under it before authorising the conduct or use of a CHIS.

Section 29(5) requires the Authorising Officer to be satisfied that arrangements are in place for the careful management of the source and that records are maintained relating to the source which contain the particulars specified in the Source Records Regulations.

The Authorising Officer must therefore:

- (a) be satisfied that the conduct and/or use of the CHIS is both necessary and proportionate to what is sought to be achieved. This will be addressed by following the procedure provided in this section;
- (b) be satisfied that appropriate arrangements are in place for the management and oversight of the CHIS. This must address health and safety issues through a risk assessment;
- (c) consider the likely degree of intrusion of all those potentially affected;
- (d) consider any adverse impact on community confidence that may result from the use or conduct or the information obtained; and
- (e) ensure records contain specified particulars relating to the source and that the records are kept confidential.

### **Controller and Handler**

When a CHIS is used, a 'Handler' (who can be an officer of the Council), and who must have received appropriate training, should be designated as having the day to day responsibility for dealing with the CHIS. This responsibility shall extend to security, safety and welfare of the CHIS. In addition, a 'Controller' should be designated to have the general oversight of the use made of the CHIS. These requirements also apply in cases in which the CHIS is an officer of the Council.

### **Vulnerable Individuals and Juveniles**

Special safeguards apply to the use or conduct of vulnerable individuals or juveniles. When considering applications for the use of a CHIS an Authorising Officer must identify whether the proposed CHIS is a vulnerable or juvenile individual. A vulnerable individual is a person who is or may be in need of community care services by reason of mental or other disability, age or illness and who may need protecting from exploitation. A vulnerable individual will only be authorised to act as a source in the most exceptional circumstances.

A juvenile is a young person under 18. Juveniles can only be authorised as sources for one month. On no occasion can a child under 16 years of age be authorised to give information against his or her parents or anyone with parental responsibility for that child.

Before deciding on this course of action, legal advice must be sought from the Solicitor to the Council or the Senior Responsible Officer.

Where the proposed activity involves the use of a vulnerable person or juvenile as a CHIS, only the Corporate Director that is occupying the role of Head of Paid Service at the time or his deputy as listed in Appendix 2 can give authorisation.

### **Risk of Collateral Intrusion**

The Authorising Officer must consider whether there is a risk of collateral intrusion into the private life of any person not the primary subject of the investigation. The applicant should describe the activity sufficiently widely to include not only named individuals but also any others who may be at risk of collateral intrusion to enable this consideration to occur.

Where the risk of such intrusion is sufficiently significant, the Authorising Officer must determine whether a separate authorisation is required in respect of these other persons.

The person carrying out the activity must inform the Authorising Officer if the investigation or operation unexpectedly interferes with the privacy of individuals not covered by the authorisation. The Authorising Officer must then consider whether the authorisation needs to be amended and re-authorised or a new authorisation is required.

The Authorising Officer must balance the intrusiveness of the activity on the target and others who might be affected by it against the need for the activity in operational terms. The Authorising Officer should discuss the proposed activity, and any proposed changes, with the applicant prior to issuing the authorisation.

## 9. THE ROLE OF THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE

### **Approval of initial authorisations**

Where an Authorising Officer has considered and authorised an application to use a CHIS, that authorisation must be approved by a Justice of the Peace before the authorisation can take effect.

Applications to the Justice of the Peace should be made following the procedure in section 10 of this policy.

Where an authorisation is approved by the Justice of the Peace that authorisation takes effect from date on which the Justice of the Peace granted his or her approval.

### **Renewals**

Where an Authorising Officer has considered and authorised the renewal of an existing authorisation to use a CHIS, that renewal must also be approved by a Justice of the Peace before the initial authorisation expires. The renewal will then take effect on the date the initial authorisation expires.

### **Cancellations and reviews**

The Justice of the Peace does not play a role in the cancellation or review of authorisations.

## 10. APPLICATIONS FOR APPROVAL BY THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE

The local authority should contact Her Majesty's Courts and Tribunals Service administration to arrange a hearing at the relevant Magistrates' Court.

The local authority should provide the Justice of the Peace with a copy of the original RIPA authorisation form and any supporting documents setting out the case. This forms the basis of the application and should contain all the information the officer wishes to rely upon.

In deciding whether or not to approve the authorisation the Justice of the Peace must be satisfied that:

- there were reasonable grounds for the local authority to believe that the authorisation was necessary and proportionate and there remain reasonable grounds for believing that these requirements are satisfied at the time when the Justice of the Peace is considering the matter
- that there were reasonable grounds for the local authority to believe that arrangements exist for the safety and welfare of the source that satisfy section 29(5) RIPA and there remains reasonable grounds for believing that these requirements are satisfied at the time when the Justice of the Peace is considering the matter

- that there were reasonable grounds for the local authority to believe that the requirements imposed by Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Juveniles) Order 2000 were satisfied and there remain reasonable grounds for believing that these requirements are satisfied at the time when the Justice of the Peace is considering the matter
- that the local authority application has been authorised by an Authorising Officer
- the grant of the authorisation was not in breach of any restriction imposed by virtue of an order made under the following sections for RIPA:
  - 29(7)(a) (for CHIS)
  - 30(3) (for CHIS and Directed Surveillance)
- any other conditions that may be provided for by an order made by the Secretary of State were satisfied.

The original RIPA authorisation should be shown to the Justice of the Peace but retained for the local authority's records.

The officer attending the hearing should also provide the Justice of the Peace with a partially completed judicial application/order form

The order form section of this form will be completed by the Justice of the Peace and will be the official record of the Justice of the Peace's decision. A copy of this form should be retained by the local authority.

## **11. WORKING WITH/THROUGH OTHER AGENCIES**

Where Council officers undertake an investigation/operation under RIPA jointly with another public authority, it is the responsibility of the tasking authority to obtain the authorisation. For example, if the Council was asked by the police to assist in a covert surveillance operation, the police should obtain the authorisation, which would then cover the Council. In such a case, Council officers must request written confirmation from the other public authority that an authorisation is in place before taking part in any joint operation and ensure that copies of the authorisation and judicial approval relied upon are obtained from the tasking authority.

Likewise Council officers must ensure that they have authorisation to cover other public authorities where the Council has initiated a joint operation and be prepared to provide a copy of the authorisation where appropriate.

When an agency is instructed on behalf of the Council to undertake any action under RIPA, the Council instructing officer must obtain authorisation for the action to be undertaken and keep the agent informed of the various requirements. It is essential that the agent is given explicit instructions on what they are authorised to do.

## **12. RECORDS MANAGEMENT**

The Council must keep a detailed record of all authorisations, Judicial application/order forms, reviews, renewals, cancellations and rejections in the relevant services. A central record of all authorisation forms, whether authorised or rejected, will be maintained and monitored by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer.

All Authorising Officers must send all **original** applications for authorisation to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer. Each document will be given a unique reference number, a copy will be placed on the Central Record and the original will be returned to the applicant.

Copies of all other forms used and the Judicial application/order forms must be sent to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer bearing the reference number previously given to the application to which it refers.

### **Service Records**

Each service must keep a written record of all authorisations issued to it, and any Judicial approvals granted, to include the following:

- A copy of the application, authorisation and Judicial approval together with any supplementary documentation and notification of the approval given by the Authorising Officer;
- A record of the period over which the surveillance has taken place;
- The frequency of reviews prescribed by the Authorising Officer;
- A record of the result of each review;
- In the case of a self authorisation by the Authorising Officer, a statement in writing that he/she expressly authorised the action
- A copy of any renewal of an authorisation and any supporting documentation submitted when the renewal was requested;
- The date and time when any instruction was given by the Authorising Officer, including cancellation of such authorisation.

### **Central Record Maintained by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer**

A central record of all authorisation forms, whether authorised or rejected, is kept by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer. The central record must be readily available for inspection on request by the Office of Surveillance Commissioners.

The central record must be updated whenever an authorisation is granted, renewed, reviewed or cancelled. Records will be retained for a period of 6 years from the date on which the relevant criminal or civil proceedings file is closed for archive, or for such other period as determined by the internal procedures relating to the retention of the criminal or civil proceedings file.



The central record must contain the following information:


- The type of authorisation;
- The date on which the authorisation was given;
- Name/rank of the Authorising Officer;
- The date on which Judicial approval was granted, the name of the Justice of the Peace granting the approval and the name of the Court;
- The unique reference number (URN) of the investigation/operation. This will be issued by the Legal Unit when a new application is entered in the Central Record. The applicant will be informed accordingly and should use the same URN when requesting a renewal or cancellation;
- The title of the investigation/operation, including a brief description and names of the subjects, if known;
- In the case of a self authorisation by the Authorising Officer, a statement in writing that he/she expressly authorised the action
- If the authorisation was renewed, when it was renewed and who authorised the renewal, including the name and rank/grade of the Authorising Officer;
- Whether the investigation/operation is likely to result in the obtaining of confidential information;
- If the authorisation was reviewed, when it was reviewed and who authorised the review, including the name and rank/grade of the Authorising Officer
- The date and time that the authorisation was cancelled.

### **Retention and Destruction of Material**

Departments must ensure that arrangements are in place for the handling, storage and destruction of material obtained through the use of covert surveillance. Confidential material must be destroyed as soon as it is no longer necessary. It must not be retained or copied unless it is necessary for a specified purpose. Where there is doubt, advice must be sought from the Solicitor to the Council or the Senior Responsible Officer.


## APPENDIX 1A

### Do you need a RIPA authorisation?




**APPENDIX 1B**

**RIPA Authorisation and Approval Process for Directed Surveillance**



**APPENDIX 1C**

**Application Process for Authorisation and Approval to Access Communications Data**



## APPENDIX 2

### List of Authorising Officers

#### 1. For standard authorisations:

Where it is not likely that confidential information will be acquired

- Mike Wilmott, Development Manager, Trowbridge
- John Carter, Head of Public Protection (Food and Environment), Trowbridge
- David Anthony, Head of Pensions, Trowbridge
- Ian White, Head of Service Passenger Transport, Trowbridge

#### 2. For authorisations where it is likely that confidential information will be acquired or where using a CHIS who is a juvenile (under 16) or a vulnerable individual

- The Corporate Director that is occupying the role of Head of Paid Service at the time of authorisation

In their absence:

- Ian Richard Gibbons, Solicitor to the Council and Monitoring Officer

## **APPENDIX 3**

### **List of Designated Persons**

Designated Persons consider applications for access to communications data.

The Council's Designated Persons are as follows:

- Tracy Carter, Associate Director, Environment & Leisure, Melksham
- Julie Higinbotham, Benefits & Corporate Investigations Manager, Chippenham

### **List of SPOCs**

SPOCs receive and manage applications for access to communications data as well as liaising with communications service providers for the provision of that information.

The Council's SPOCs are as follows:

- Yvonne Bennett, Consumer Protection Manager (North/West Hub), Department of Public Health and Public Protection
- The National Anti Fraud Network